



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/905,340 | 07/14/2001 | Myles Jordan | 655/62435 | 3753 |
| 7590 | 11/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Richard F. Jaworski Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 | | | NORRIS, TREMAYNE M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2137 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/905,340

Applicant(s)

JORDAN, MYLES

Examiner

Tremayne M. Norris

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nachenberg (US pat 5,826,013).

Regarding claim 1, Nachenberg teaches a method of detecting a computer virus that attempts to gain access to restricted computer system resources, comprising:

emulating computer executable code in a subject file (col.6 lines 45-48); and
monitoring the emulation of the computer executable code and monitoring a memory state of the computer system for modifications caused by the emulated instructions in the computer executable code, to detect an attempt by the emulated code to access one or more of the restricted computer system resources (col.6 line 54 thru col.7 line 8; col.12 line 64 thru col.13 line 10).

Regarding claim 2, Nachenberg teaches monitoring the emulation includes detecting installation of a new exception handler followed by forcing of a corresponding exception.

Regarding claim 5, Nachenberg teaches monitoring the emulation includes detecting installation of a new interrupt handler followed by forcing of a corresponding interrupt (col.3 lines 37-46; col.4 lines 24-31).

Regarding claim 6, Nachenberg teaches monitoring the emulation includes detecting writing of a new pointer to at least one predetermined address in system memory for storing an interrupt handler pointer (col.3 lines 54-59; col.12 line 64 thru col.13 line 10).

Regarding claim 7, Nachenberg teaches monitoring the emulation includes detecting use of a predetermined instruction to retrieve an address in system memory corresponding to an interrupt descriptor table (col.9 lines 24-32; col.11 lines 23-28).

Claim 8 is a program storage device claim that is substantially equivalent to method claim 1, therefore claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 9 is a system claim that is substantially equivalent to method claim 1, therefore claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding claim 10, Nachenberg teaches a computer data signal embodied in a transmission medium which embodies a program of instructions executable by a computer for detecting a computer virus that attempts to gain access to restricted computer system resources, comprising:

a first segment including emulation code to emulate computer executable code in a subject file (col.6 lines 45-48); and

a second segment including monitor code to monitor emulation of the computer executable code and monitoring a memory state of the computer system for modifications caused by the emulated instructions in the computer executable code (col.6 line 54 thru col.7 line 8; col.12 line 64 thru col.13 line 10); and

a third segment including detector code to detect an attempt by the emulated code to access one or more of the restricted computer system resources (col.9 lines 19-23; col.11 lines 3-22).

Claim 11 is an apparatus claim that is substantially equivalent to computer data signal claim 10, therefore claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding claim 12, Nachenberg teaches the monitor component monitors system memory (col.4 lines 25-29).

Regarding claim 13, Nachenberg teaches the detector component detects installation of a new exception handler (col.9 lines 19-23; col.11 lines 3-22).

Regarding claim 14, Nachenberg teaches after the detector component detects installation of a new exception handler, the detector component monitors code execution to detect forcing of a corresponding exception (col.11 lines 3-22; col.12 lines 20-30).

Regarding claim 15, Nachenberg teaches the detector component detects writing of a new pointer to at least one predetermined address in system memory for storing an exception handler pointer (col.3 lines 54-59; col.11 lines 3-22; col.12 line 64 thru col.13 line 10).

Regarding claim 16, Nachenberg teaches the detector component detects installation of a new interrupt handler (col.3 lines 37-46; col.4 lines 24-31).

Regarding claim 17, Nachenberg teaches after the detector component detects installation of a new interrupt handler, the detector component monitors code execution to detect forcing of a corresponding interrupt (col.3 lines 37-46; col.4 lines 24-31; col.9 lines 24-32; col.11 lines 23-28).

Regarding claim 18, Nachenberg teaches the detector component detects writing of a new pointer to at least one predetermined address in system memory for storing an interrupt handler pointer (col.9 lines 24-32; col.11 lines 23-28).

Regarding claim 19, Nachenberg teaches the monitor component detects use of a predetermined instruction to retrieve an address in system memory corresponding to an interrupt descriptor table (col.9 lines 24-32; col.11 lines 23-28).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tremayne M. Norris whose telephone number is (571) 272-3874. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tremayne Norris

October 29, 2004



Andrew Caldwell
Andrew Caldwell